UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/590,893	08/28/2006	Paul Gothard Knutson	PU030237	3761	
²⁴⁴⁹⁸ Joseph J. Laks	7590 07/17/200	8	EXAM	IINER	
Thomson Licen			JAMAL, ALEXANDER		
PO Box 5312	Way, Patent Operation	ns	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PRINCETON,	NJ 08543		2614		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/17/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence	10/590,893	KNUTSON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	ALEXANDER JAMAL	2614			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address -	-		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IN WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL! - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re tion. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT y statute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communica ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	n 08 April 2008				
·= · · · _	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for a		ers prosecution as to the merits	: ie		
closed in accordance with the practice u	·	• •	, 13		
·	Hadi Ex parte Quayle, 1000 O.B.	11, 400 0.0. 210.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the app					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	ithdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	1(d).		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu		119(a)-(d) or (f).			
2. Certified copies of the priority docu	uments have been received in Ap	oplication No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
application from the International E	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not r	eceived.			
Attachment(s)	_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08))/Mail Date formal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/590,893 Page 2

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Based upon the submitted amendment, the examiner notes that claims 1-3,5,9-14,16,18-26 have been amended.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. **Claims 1-4,9-15,20-22** rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by Nyhart et al. (5553137).

Application/Control Number: 10/590,893 Page 3

Art Unit: 2614

As per **claims 1,12,** Nyhart discloses an acoustic echo canceller (Col 1 lines 21-40) that trains on 'non-training' audio. However, Nyhart does not specify the sampling rate of the audio signal in relation to the audio of the telephone functions

The examiner contends that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that any number of signaling frequencies could have been chosen for the telephone and audio signal used for training, or realize that the training could occur at a different clock rate than telephone signaling as a matter of design choice.

As per **claim 10**, it is rejected as per **claim 1**, Nyhart discloses that the dialing tones (preset signals that are used to notify of an event unrelated to training that are also used for training) (Col 1 lines 45-60).

As per claims 2,13, they are rejected as per claim 1.

As per **claims 3,11,14,22**, it may be audio.

As per **claims 4,15**, examiner reads any device that processes audio with acoustic echoes as a computer, portable computer, and a phone.

As per **claims 9,20**, the examiner contends that any initialization stage for a communications device would inherently comprise and indication to the training portion as to when to start (a number of training calls being reached) for the purpose of telling the training when to start.

4. **Claims 23-26,5,16,7,8,18,19,** rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nyhart (5553137) as applied to claims 1,12.

Application/Control Number: 10/590,893 Page 4

Art Unit: 2614

As per **claim 23**, it is rejected as per the claim 1 rejection, however Nyhart doesn't disclose the specifics of the terminal where the echo canceller is implemented.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of this application that an echo canceller could be implemented on a phone (which is also a conferencing device) or computer with a known interface (USB,1394) that produces the external audio signal for training for the purpose of removing echoes from those devices.

As per claims 24-26, they are rejected as per the claim 1 rejection.

As per **claims 5,16,** Nyhart discloses the audio training signal for the canceller which is digitally process. As such, the system inherently comprises an analog-to-digital converter, which will sample the training audio in the same microphone input that receives the telephone signaling (for a conferencing application for example). The ADC inherently comprises a 'sample rate converter' which will resample any input signal into the preset sampling rate (which will be the same as the telephone signaling (conferencing application).

As per **claim 7,8,18,19**, examiner contends it would have been obvious to balance and manage the processor resources in a given system as necessary to perform the disclosed functions of communicating and echo cancelling.

5. **Claims 6,17** rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nyhart (5553137) as applied to claims 1,12, and further in view of applicant's admitted prior art (spec).

As per **claims 6,17,** Nyhart's system comprises a speaker, and mic (fig. 1), but does not give specifics of the echo canceller.

Applicant's admitted prior art discloses well known adaptive filters used to perform the echo cancelling. The digital system inherently comprises means to delay all signals paths so as to synchronize the signals (to give 'real time' bidirectional communication.) (spec pages 1 and 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of this application to implement well known echo canceller features like a filter and delay means for the purpose of implementing the disclosed canceller.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

As per applicant's arguments that the prior art relied upon by the examiner (applicant's disclosed prior art) teaches away from the disclosed processor. The examiner maintains the 103 rejection and contends that one of ordinary skill would realize that prior art systems existed and could benefit from obvious combinations, such as the one with Nyhart.

As per applicant's arguments that examiner has not shown a citation in a reference for the inherent delay stages in the system. The examiner contends that it is well known to buffer and

delay processing stages in order to synchronize realtime bidirectional communication systems. The examiner further notes that applicant has not disclosed the implementation specifics of any of the claimed device (such as lines of code for a dsp or actual circuit routing of the claimed device). The examiner contends it is well known how to implement functions and algorithms digitally, using processing, buffering and delay stages for the purpose of implementing the disclosed synchronized bidirectional communication systems.

Page 6

As per applicant's argument that Nyhart's background section teaches away from applicant's claims, the examiner does understand applicant's argument and requests clarification.

The examiner maintains that Nyhart reads on applicant's claims as per the above rejections.

As per applicant's argument that Nyhart's noise sequence is not a 'specially designed audio sequence' as recited in applicant's claims, the examiner disagrees.

2. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/590,893

Art Unit: 2614

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

Page 7

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Alexander Jamal whose telephone number is 571-272-7498. The examiner

can normally be reached on M-F 9AM-6PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Curtis A Kuntz can be reached on 571-272-7499. The fax phone numbers for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned are 571-273-8300 for regular communications

and 571-273-8300 for After Final communications.

/Alexander Jamal/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2614

Examiner Alexander Jamal

July 17, 2008